

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/804,436		LYLES, MARK B.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	SATYENDRA K. SINGH		1657	

All Participants:

(1) SATYENDRA K. SINGH.

(2) ERIC M. GRABSKI (ATTORNEY OF RECORD).

Date of Interview: 28 August 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-10, 11, 15

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: AFTER RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 11:10AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The applicant's representative Mr. ERIC GRABSKI (the attorney of record, phone 512-457-2030) was telephonically contacted by the examiner, and orally provided with a proposed Examiner's Amendment to the pending claims 11-15, 19 and 20 (that have been found to be allowable after current amendments, through an in house patentability conference with the Primary Examiner, Irene Marx and SPE, Jon Weber) for applicant's considerations. Specifically, the amendments to pending claim 11 (inserting in the preamble after living cells "onto a wound area of skin of a subject", and after the phrase "dispersing the suspension onto" inserting "the") and cancellation of claims 1-10 (non-elected invention) and 15 (that includes 112 issues) were briefly discussed. Applicant's attorney Mr. Grabski accepted the proposed Examiner's Amendment to the claims and agreed to cancel claims 1-10 and 15.

/Satyendra K. Singh/
Examiner, AU 1657